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PARTNER INSTRUCTION: COMMON PRACTICES
Common Frustrations With Partner Instruction

We’ve all witnessed partners interacting with our clients in less-than-ideal ways

- Talking too much
- Asking too many questions
- Not providing enough ‘wait time’

And we’ve all attempted to change these behaviors

- Offering suggestions
- Demonstrating other ways to interact with the client
Why Some Partner Instruction Approaches Don’t Work

Telling or showing someone a new technique does not provide them with the hands-on practice they need

- Direct instruction with hands-on practice is required
- “Fundamentals of the ImPAACT Program” and other presentations address this issue

Partner techniques are not carefully selected

- Focus of this presentation
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Common Problems With Partner Skill Selection

- Focusing on what is ‘wrong’ with the partner instead of improving client behaviors
- Trying to change too many partner behaviors at once
- Trying to change too many client behaviors at once
- Trying to change client and/or partner behaviors in too many settings or situations at once
- Failing to link changes in partner behaviors to identifiable, measurable changes in the client
GUIDELINES FOR PARTNER SKILL SELECTION
Five Guidelines for Selecting Partner Skills

1. Focus on **client** outcomes during instructional sessions with partners.
2. Identify the partner techniques that will result in the desired client skills.
3. Select client and partner skills that change quickly and are easy to identify and quantify.
4. Practice the selected partner techniques with the client before teaching it to the partner.
5. Start small; expand after achieving initial success.
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(1) Focus on Client Skills
(2) Identify Partner Behaviors

• Sometimes, these steps are straightforward
  – You know which client behaviors to target for change
  – You know which partner behaviors will facilitate those changes
### Examples

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Client Skill</th>
<th>Partner Skill</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Answer tagged yes/no questions accurately (“Are you feeling ok, yes or no?”)</td>
<td>Use tagged format when asking each yes/no question (\text{(Garrett &amp; Lasker, 2005)})</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Make choices by selecting an item from a written list</td>
<td>Provide written choices in appropriate, meaningful situations (\text{(Garrett &amp; Lasker, 2005)})</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
| Use a pre-programmed message to introduce self when meeting someone new    | Use least-to-most cuing hierarchy to prompt:  
  - Natural cue  
  - Expectant delay  
  - Point toward device  
  - Provide aided AAC model \(\text{(Light \& Binger, 1998)}\) |
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When Partner Behaviors Inspire Partner Intervention

• It’s natural to begin by noticing behaviors that do not facilitate communication

• However, if you focus on what partners are doing ‘wrong’
  – It will make them defensive (or at least feel badly)
  – It may not result in improved client communication

• So...let’s talk about how to use our observations to everyone’s advantage
When Partner Behaviors Do Not Facilitate Communication

A. How is the partner failing to facilitate communication?

B. What *exact* partner behaviors would be more facilitative?

C. What *exact* client behaviors would result from these changes?
(3) Select Client and Partner Skills

• Once you have a list of client and partner skills
  – Decide which ones to target

• Some partner instruction approaches are relatively lengthy and tackle *many* client and partner behaviors
  – Hanen Program
  – Ann Kaiser’s parent instruction program

• Our approach: ImPAACT Program (Binger et al., 2008 & 2010; Kent-Walsh et al., 2010 a & b)
  – Focused instruction in limited contexts
  – Build on initial success
Partner Skill Selection: The ImPAAACT Approach

- Defined explicitly
- Eliminate the least helpful partner behaviors
- Used initially within 1–2 highly specific contexts
- Acquired rapidly by partner
- Are easily identifiable and measurable
- Change client outcomes quickly
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(4) Practice Partner Techniques With the Client Before You Begin

• Practice the selected partner techniques before trying to teach them to a communication partner
  – Ensures that the techniques result in the desired client outcomes
  – Helps you clearly define what you plan to teach
  – Allows you to:
    • Observe the range of client behaviors that arise while using these techniques
    • Troubleshoot problem areas that may arise
    • Problem-solve how to teach the partner to cope with these issues
(5) Start Small, Then Expand

Begin with highly specific contexts

- Identify 1–2 specific contexts to begin
- Activity should last no longer than 10–15 minutes

It’s much easier for the partner if he or she knows when to use the skill

We know you want to change so much more!
• But trying to change too much at once can be counterproductive
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Moving Toward Generalization

• Once the partner has *mastered* one context, select additional contexts
  – Continue to select specific contexts
  – Continue to limit use of technique in new contexts (activities to last no more than 10–15 minutes)
  – Keep expanding as the partner builds his or her success
## Example Contexts

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Population</th>
<th>Start with...</th>
<th>Then expand to...</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Preschoolers</td>
<td>One set of storybooks (e.g., Little Critter)</td>
<td>Other sets of storybooks (e.g., Clifford); other activities</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Preschool or school-age</td>
<td>A classroom activity (e.g., a craft)</td>
<td>Other classroom activities (e.g., science centers, exploratory play, circle time)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Adult with acquired disability (e.g., aphasia, TBI)</td>
<td>Selecting where and what to eat for dinner</td>
<td>Selecting where to go and who to see during other social outings</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Nursing home resident</td>
<td>A social event (e.g., bingo)</td>
<td>Other social events (e.g., monthly birthday parties, gardening activities)</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
CASE EXAMPLE: VICKIE & PAM
Vickie and Pam

- Vickie has CP; just starting to use an SGD

- Pam is a dedicated educational assistant but has little experience working with children with disabilities
(1) Focus on Client Skills and
(2) Identify Partner Behaviors

A. How is Pam facilitating and NOT facilitating Vickie’s communication?

B. What exactly could Pam do that would be more facilitative?

C. What exact behaviors of Vickie’s would result from these changes?
A. How Is Pam Failing to Facilitate Communication?

Facilitative

- Keeping Vickie on task
- Providing access to AAC device

Not Facilitative

- Not providing many opportunities for Vickie to take turns
- Not asking Vickie any WH questions
- Not providing aided AAC models
- Not relating the story to Vickie’s own life experiences
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B. What *Exactly* Could Pam Do to facilitate Communication?

• Potential facilitative behaviors for Pam:
  – Provide Vickie with the expectation to take turns
    • One way to achieve this is to pause after each turn
  – Provide aided AAC models
  – Ask Vickie WH and open-ended questions
  – Provide more wait time
  – Relate the story to Vickie’s own life experiences
C. What Potential Behaviors of Vickie’s Would Result From These Changes?

- Potential changes for Vickie
  - Increase turn-taking frequency
  - Increase use of targeted vocabulary words
  - Increase number of multi-symbol messages produced (e.g., DORA + SEE + CLAM)
(3) Select Client Skill and Partner Technique

Vickie:
- Produce at least 10 symbol combinations during 10-minute story, three consecutive days
  - Receptive language WNL
  - Vickie should start producing sentences very quickly
  - Easy to identify and measure

Pam:
- RAAP cueing hierarchy
  - READ → ASK → ANSWER → PROMPT
  - Well-defined strategy
  - Hierarchy requires Pam to use desired behaviors
  - We tested RAAP with Vickie; prompts word combinations
  - One specific context used: storybook reading
Partner Technique for Pam

- Defined explicitly
- Eliminates Pam’s least helpful behaviors
- Acquired rapidly by Pam
- Changes Vickie’s outcomes quickly
- Is easily identifiable and measurable
- Used within one highly specific context

(c) USU Seminars Binger 2012 Binger
(4) Practice Techniques With Vickie Before Beginning Instruction With Pam

• Practiced the RAAP strategy with Vickie
  – Vickie readily began producing symbol combinations
  – Helped ensure that we were comfortable with the strategy
  – Allowed us to observe what Vickie might do with Pam and how to manage these situations
    • What to do when Vickie produced no combinations
    • What to do when Vickie used symbol combinations that did not make sense
(5) Start Small, Then Expand

10-Minute Story Reading Activity

- Identified one specific context
- Each session was 10 minutes long
- Defined exactly when to use the strategy: Begin each time Pam turns the page
- Expanded to other books and activities (e.g., arts and crafts) after achieving initial success
After You Select Partner Skills

Careful selection of appropriate client and partner skills is the first step toward effective partner instruction.

Once you’ve selected appropriate targets, take a systematic approach to teaching these new skills to communication partners.

One evidence-based approach is the ImPAAACT Program:
- 8-step program proven to improve both client and partner communication behaviors
- “Fundamentals of the ImPAAACT Program” is an introduction to this program
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